

Representing recursive (computable) functions in PA

## Chinese remainder theorem (Sun Tzu [300 AD])

---

Theorem. Let  $n_0, \dots, n_{k-1}$  be pairwise coprime. [ $\forall i \neq j. \gcd(n_i, n_j) = 1$ ]  
Then for every sequence  $x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}$  there exists an  $a$  such that

$$a \equiv x_0 \pmod{n_0}$$

...

$$a \equiv x_{k-1} \pmod{n_{k-1}}$$

[E.g. let  $\vec{n} = \langle 3, 4, 5 \rangle$  and  $\vec{a} = \langle 2, 3, 1 \rangle$ . Then we can take  $a = 191$ .]

Proof. Define  $N = n_0 n_1 \dots n_{k-1}$  and  $N_i = N/n_i$ .

Note that  $\gcd(n_i, N_i) = 1$ . By Bézout there are  $p_i, q_i$  such that

$$q_i N_i = p_i n_i + 1$$

Define  $e_i = q_i N_i$ . Then  $e_i \equiv 1 \pmod{n_i}$  and  $e_i \equiv 0 \pmod{n_j}$  for  $i \neq j$

Then take ('as in linear algebra')

$$a = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} x_i e_i. \blacksquare$$

## Coding sequences

---

Given  $x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}$  we want to code this as one number

As we do not know yet how to describe recursive functions in PA

our previous coding  $\langle x_0, \dots, x_{k-1} \rangle$  will not do

Define  $m = \max(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}, k)!$  and  $n_i = m(i + 1) + 1$

Then the  $n_0, \dots, n_{k-1}$  are mutually coprime

By the Chinese remainder theorem one has for some  $a$

$$\forall i < k. a \equiv x_i \pmod{n_i}$$

Every number  $y$  can be written in a unique way as  $y = \langle a, m \rangle$

Define Gödel's beta function  $\beta(y, i) = (y)_i = rm(a, m(i + 1) + 1)$ .

Theorem (i)  $PA \vdash \forall x \exists y. y_0 = x$

(ii)  $PA \vdash \forall x, y, k \exists y^1 [\forall i < k. y_i^1 = y_i] \wedge y_k^1 = x \quad [y^1 = x : y]$

(iii)  $PA \vdash \forall a, m, i. [(\langle a, m \rangle)_i < a]$

## Representing relations in PA

---

Definition. (i) Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^k$ . Then  $\varphi = \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  *represents*  $A$  if for all  $n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{N}^k$  one has

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{n} \in A &\Rightarrow \text{PA} \vdash \varphi(\underline{n}_1, \dots, \underline{n}_k) \\ \vec{n} \notin A &\Rightarrow \text{PA} \vdash \neg\varphi(\underline{n}_1, \dots, \underline{n}_k)\end{aligned}$$

where  $\underline{0} = 0$ ,  $\underline{n+1} = S(\underline{n})$

(ii) Let  $F : \mathbb{N}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\varphi = \varphi(\vec{x}, y)$  *represents*  $f$  if it represents the graph of  $f$ :

$$\begin{aligned}f(\vec{n}) = m &\Rightarrow \text{PA} \vdash \varphi(\underline{\vec{n}}, \underline{m}) \\ f(\vec{n}) \neq m &\Rightarrow \text{PA} \vdash \neg\varphi(\underline{\vec{n}}, \underline{m})\end{aligned}$$

Definition. Let  $\varphi$  be a formula of PA.

(i)  $\varphi$  is called a  $\Delta_0$ -formula if

all quantifiers in  $\varphi$  are *bounded* i.e. of the form

$\forall x < t. \psi$  or  $\exists x < t. \psi$ , with  $x \notin \text{FV}(t)$

(ii)  $\varphi = \varphi(\vec{x})$  is called a  $\Sigma_1$ -formula if there is a  $\Delta_0$ -formula  $\psi$  s.t.

$$\text{PA} \vdash \varphi(\vec{x}) \leftrightarrow \exists \vec{y}. \psi(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$$

(iii) A relation  $R \subseteq \mathbb{N}^k$  is called  $\Sigma_1$  or  $\Delta_0$  if

$R$  is representable by respectively a  $\Sigma_1$  or  $\Delta_0$  formula

## Using the $\beta$ -function

---

Lemma. Define  $R(x, d, r) \Leftrightarrow r$  is the remainder after dividing  $x$  by  $d$

Then  $R$  is  $\Delta_0$ .

Proof. Indeed,  $R$  is represented by

$$\varphi(x, d, r) \equiv \exists q < (x + 1). x = qd + r. \blacksquare$$

Lemma. The relation  $\beta(x, i) = y$  is  $\Delta_0$ .

Proof. This is because

$$\beta(x, i) = y \Leftrightarrow \exists a < x, m < x. \langle a, m \rangle = x \wedge R(a, m(i + 1) + 1, y),$$

while  $\langle a, m \rangle = x \Leftrightarrow 2x = (a + m)(a + m + 1) + 2a. \blacksquare$

Lemma. (i)  $\text{PA} \vdash \forall x < t \exists y. \psi(x, y) \rightarrow \exists y \forall x < t \exists u < y. \psi(x, u)$

(ii)  $\Sigma_1$  formulae are closed under  $\forall x < t$  and  $\exists x < t$  and even  $\exists y$

## Provably recursive functions

---

Definition. A function  $F : \mathbb{N}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is *provably recursive* if there it is represented by a  $\Sigma_1$ -formula  $\varphi(\vec{x}, z)$  such that

$$\text{PA} \vdash \forall \vec{x} \exists! z. \varphi(\vec{x}, z)$$

## Recursive functions are $\Sigma_1$ -representable

---

Theorem *Every total recursive function is  $\Sigma_1$ -representable in PA*

Proof. For the initial functions this is easy. The  $\Sigma_1$ -representable functions are closed under substitution. To show that they are closed under primitive recursion, consider e.g.

$$\begin{aligned}f(x, 0) &= n \\f(x, k + 1) &= g(f(x, k), x, k)\end{aligned}$$

We may suppose that  $g$  is represented by the  $\Sigma_1$ -formula  $\psi_g$ . Then  $f(x, y) = z$  iff there exists a sequence  $x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}$  such that

$$\forall i \leq y. x_i = f(x, i) \wedge x_y = z.$$

This is equivalent to

$$x_0 = n \wedge \forall i < k. \varphi_g(x_i, x, i, x_{i+1}) \wedge x_k = z.$$

All this can be expressed via the  $\beta$ -function.



## Primitive recursive functions are provably total

---

Theorem *Every primitive recursive function is provably recursive in PA*

[But not all recursive functions are provably recursive functions!]