# Peano Arithmetic

# The theory PA

Definition. For a formula  $\varphi$  its  $\forall$ -closure, notation  $\mathrm{cl}(\varphi)$ , is

$$\forall \vec{x}.\varphi \equiv \forall x_1 \dots x_n.\varphi \equiv \forall x_1 (\dots (\forall x_n.\varphi)..)$$

where  $FV(\varphi) = \{\vec{x}\}\$ 

Definition. Peano Arithmetic is the first order theory with equality in the language with signature  $\langle 2,2,0,0;2\rangle$ 

The function symbols are denoted by  $+, \times, 0, 1$ 

Alternatively one could take  $\langle 2,2,1,0;2 \rangle$  and write  $+,\times,S,0$ 

Axioms of PA are the closures of

alternatively

$$x + 1 \neq 0$$

$$x + 1 = y + 1 \rightarrow x = y$$

$$[\varphi(0) \land \forall y.(\varphi(y) \rightarrow \varphi(y + 1))] \rightarrow \forall y.\varphi(y)$$

$$x + 0 = x, x + (y + 1) = (x + y) + 1$$

$$x \times 0 = 0, x \times (y + 1) = (x \times y) + x$$

$$S(x) \neq 0$$

$$S(x) = S(y) \rightarrow x = y$$

$$[\varphi(0) \land \forall y.(\varphi(y) \rightarrow \varphi(S(y)))] \rightarrow \forall y.\varphi(y)$$

$$x + 0 = x, x + S(y) = S(x + y)$$

$$x \times 0 = 0, x \times S(y) = (x \times y) + x$$

# Peano's axiomatization

Basically this is in second order logic

We axiomatize  $\langle \mathbb{N}, S, 0 \rangle$ 

$$0 \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$x \in \mathbb{N} \rightarrow S(x) \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$0 \neq S(x)$$

$$S(x) = S(y) \rightarrow x = y$$

$$\forall X \subseteq \mathbb{N}. [0 \in X \& \forall y. [y \in X \rightarrow S(y) \in X] \rightarrow X = \mathbb{N}]$$

The operations  $+, \times$  are defined by

# First results: arithmetic

$PA \vdash$	x = 0	$\vee$	$\exists y. x = S(y)$
$PA \vdash$	x + (y + z)	=	(x+y)+z
$PA \vdash$	x + y	=	y + x
$PA \vdash$	$x \times (y \times z)$	=	$(x \times y) \times z$
$PA \vdash$	$x \times y$	=	$y \times x$
$PA \vdash$	$x \times (y+z)$	=	$x \times y + x \times z$
$PA \vdash$	$z \neq 0 \land x \times z = y \times z$	$\longrightarrow$	x = y
$PA \vdash$	$x \times 1$	=	x

# Order

Define 
$$x < y := \exists z.x + S(z) = y$$
. Then

$$\mathsf{PA} \vdash x \not< x$$

$$\mathsf{PA} \vdash x < y \land y < z \to x < z$$

$$\mathsf{PA} \vdash x < y \lor x = y \lor y < x$$

$$\mathsf{PA} \vdash x < y \to (y = S(x) \lor S(x) < y)$$

$$PA \vdash x < S(x)$$

$$\mathsf{PA} \vdash \exists w. \varphi(w) \rightarrow \exists y. [\psi(y) \land \forall x < y. \neg \psi(x)]$$
 least number principle

Define 
$$x \leq y := x < y \lor x = y$$

$$\mathsf{PA} \vdash \forall w [\underbrace{\forall y {<} w. \psi(y)}_{\mathsf{induction hypothesis}} \rightarrow \psi(w)] \rightarrow \forall w. \psi(w)$$

This is course of value induction

# Proof of course of value induction

By  $(\rightarrow -1)$  suffices to show

$$\forall w [\forall y < w.\psi(y) \rightarrow \psi(w)] \vdash_{\mathsf{PA}} \forall w.\psi(w)$$

We prove something stronger (induction loading)

$$\forall w [\forall y < w.\psi(y) \rightarrow \psi(w)] \vdash_{\mathsf{PA}} \forall w \forall y < w.\psi(y)$$

Case w=0, that is  $\forall y < 0. \psi(y)$ . Trivial, as  $y \not< 0$ .

Case w+1, that is  $\forall y < w. \psi(y) \rightarrow \forall y < (w+1). \psi(y)$ .

From  $\forall y < w. \psi(y)$  and  $\forall w [\forall y < w. \psi(y) \rightarrow \psi(w)]$  we get  $\psi(w)$ .

But then indeed  $\forall y < (w+1).\psi(y)$ ,

as  $y < (w+1) \leftrightarrow y < w \lor y = w$ .

# Simple number theory

$$\mathsf{PA} \vdash \forall xy. (y \neq 0 \to \exists a \exists b < y. [x = ay + b])$$

#### **Definition**

$$x|y := \exists z.(xz = y)$$
  
 $irred(x) := \forall d < x[d|x \rightarrow d = 1]$   
 $prime(x) := x > 1 \land \forall yz[x|yz \rightarrow x|y \lor x|z]$ 

Proposition PA  $\vdash \forall x > 1.[\operatorname{irred}(x) \leftrightarrow \operatorname{prime}(x)]$ 

Proposition PA  $\vdash \forall x > 1 \exists v. (\text{prime}(v) \land v | x)$ 

Exercise Prove 'There are infinitely many primes'

That is PA  $\vdash \forall x \exists y > x. prime(y)$ 

#### Powers

# Define

$$pow(x, v) := x \ge 1 \land prime(v) \land \forall w \le x. [w > 1 \land w | x \to v | w]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow "\exists k. x = v^k \land prime(v)"$$

$$pp(x) := \exists v \le x. pow(x, v)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow "\exists v \exists k. x = v^k \land prime(v)"$$

# Definitional extensions

Let  $\vec{x} = x_1, \dots, x_n$ . Suppose we can show

$$\mathsf{PA} \vdash \forall \vec{x} \exists ! y. \varphi(\vec{x}, y)$$

We can introduce a new function symbol  $f_{\varphi}$  of arity n and postulate

$$\forall \vec{x}. \varphi(\vec{x}, f_{\varphi}(\vec{x}))$$

Theorem. This *definitional extension* of PA is equally strong as PA.

Proof idea. Systematically translate

$$\psi(f(\vec{x}))$$

by

$$\exists y. [\varphi(\vec{x}, y) \land \psi(y)]. \blacksquare$$

For example we can do this for  $\varphi(x_1, x_2)$ 

$$[(y = 0 \land x_1 < x_2) \lor x_1 = y + x_2]$$

For the resulting  $f_{\varphi}(x_1, x_2)$  we write  $x_1 - x_2 := \text{if } x_1 \ge x_2 \text{ then } x_1 - x_2 \text{ else } 0$ 

# A new function

# Define

$$x \upharpoonright v := v^{\max\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid v^k \mid x\}}$$

$$5 \upharpoonright 3 = 1$$

$$6 \upharpoonright 3 = 3$$

$$18 \upharpoonright 3 = 9$$

$$54 \upharpoonright 3 = 27$$

We can use  $\restriction$  in PA since  $\restriction = f_{\varphi}$  with

$$\varphi(x, v, y) := [pow(y, v) \land y | x \land yv \not| x]$$

where we have to check the  $\forall \exists !$ -condition for  $\varphi$ 

# Known functions

For

$$\psi(x, y, z) := xy \neq 0 \land x|z \land y|z \land \forall v.[x|v \land y|v \rightarrow z|v]$$
$$\lor [xy = 0 \land z = 0]$$

we get  $f_{\psi} = \operatorname{lcm}$ 

Similarly

$$\chi(x,y,z) := z|x \wedge z|y \wedge \forall v.[v|x \wedge v|y \rightarrow v|z] \wedge [xy = 0 \rightarrow z = 0]$$

gives  $f_{\chi} = \gcd$ 

# Provable results

 $\mathsf{PA} \vdash x | y \leftrightarrow \forall v \leq x. [\mathsf{pp}(v) \land v | x \to v | y] \tag{4.1.4}$   $\mathsf{PA} \vdash \forall x, y \geq 1 \exists a \leq y \exists b \leq x. [ax = by + \gcd(x, y)] \tag{4.1.6}, \mathsf{B\'{e}zout})$