Journal of Neuroendocrinology

Localisation and Physiological Regulation of CRF Receptor 1 mRNA in the Xenopus laevis Brain and Pituitary Gland

Journal:	Journal of Neuroendocrinology
Manuscript ID:	draft
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Calle, Marinella; Institute of Neuroscience, Department of Cellular and Animal Physiology Jenks, Bruce; Institute for Neuroscience, Cellular Animal Physiology Corstens, Geert; Institute for Neuroscience, Cellular Animal Physiolgy veening, Jan; Institute of Pharamaceutical sciences, Department of Psychopharamcology Barendregt, Henk; Institute for computing and information sciences, Department of computational sciences roubos, eric; Instutute for Neuroscience, Cellular Animal Physiolgy
Keywords:	CRH, Receptors; membrane/nuclear, Alpha-MSH

powered by ScholarOne Manuscript Central[™]



Submission to J. Neuroendocrinology

Research report

Localisation and Physiological Regulation of CRF Receptor 1 mRNA in the *Xenopus laevis* Brain and Pituitary Gland

Marinella Calle^{1,2*}, Bruce G. Jenks¹, Geert J.H. Corstens¹, Jan G. Veening^{3,4}, Henk P. Barendregt², Eric W. Roubos¹

¹ Department of Cellular Animal Physiology, Institute for Neuroscience, Radboud University Nijmegen, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

² Department of Computational Sciences, Institute for Computing and Information Sciences, Radboud University Nijmegen, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

³ Department of Neuroanatomy and Embryology, Radboud University Nijmegen, Nijmegen,

The Netherlands

⁴ Department of Psychopharmacology, Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands

Address for correspondence:

Marinella Calle, MSc

Department of Cellular Animal Physiology, Institute for Neuroscience, Radboud University Nijmegen, Toernooiveld 1, 6525 ED Nijmegen, The Netherlands

Tel: +31-24-365-2704; fax: +31-24-365-2714, *Email address:* <u>m.calle@science.ru.nl</u>

Short title: CRF₁ in the Xenopus laevis brain and pituitary gland

Keywords: Corticotropin-releasing factor receptor 1; *Xenopus laevis*; Melanotrope cells; *In situ* hybridisation, α -Melanophore-stimulating hormone, urocortin

1. Abstract

In Xenopus laevis, corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF) and Urocortin 1 (Ucn1) are present in the brain and they both are potent stimulators of α -melanophore-stimulating hormone (α MSH) secretion by melanotrope cells in the pituitary gland. Since in mammals and *Xenopus laevis* both CRF and Ucn1 bind with high affinity to CRF receptor type 1 (CRF₁), one of the purposes of this study was to identify the sites of action of CRF and Ucn1 in the *Xenopus* brain and pituitary gland. Moreover, we raised the hypothesis that the external light intensity is a physiological condition controlling CRF₁ expression in the pituitary melanotrope cells. By *in situ* hybridisation we have demonstrated the presence of CRF_1 mRNA in the olfactory bulb, amygdala, nucleus accumbens, preoptic area, ventral habenular nuclei, ventromedial thalamic area, suprachiasmatic nucleus, ventral hypothalamic area, posterior tuberculum, tectum mesencephali and cerebellum. In the pituitary gland, CRF₁ mRNA occurs in the intermediate and distal lobe. The optical density of the CRF₁ mRNA hybridisation signal in the intermediate lobe of the pituitary gland is 59.4% stronger in whiteadapted animals than in black-adapted ones, supporting our hypothesis that the environmental light condition controls CRF₁ mRNA expression in melanotrope cells of X. laevis, a mechanism likely responsible for CRF- and/or Ucn1-stimulated secretion of α MSH.



2. Introduction

In the mammalian central nervous system (CNS), corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF) [1] and other members of the CRF-family of peptides, such as the urocortins (Ucn) 1, 2 and 3 [2-8] mediate various physiological, behavioural and immune responses to stressful challenges [*e.g.* 9-18]. The actions of CRF and Ucn are mediated by two G-protein-coupled receptors designated CRF-receptor 1 (CRF₁) and CRF-receptor 2 (CRF₂) [19,20], which have been localised in the brain by *in situ* hybridisation and receptor autoradiography [21-24]. CRF₁ is most abundant in the pituitary intermediate and anterior lobe [22,23], olfactory-related structures, amygdala, cerebral cortex, brainstem sensory relay nuclei and cerebellum, whereas CRF₂ has a more restricted distribution, being present in the posterior lobe of the pituitary, in subcortical structures and, most prominently, in the lateral septal nucleus, hypothalamus and amygdala [23].

The present study is concerned with the sites of action of CRF and CRF-like peptides in the amphibian brain and pituitary gland. Molecular cloning studies in the South African clawed toad *Xenopus laevis* showed a CRF-like gene, which encodes for a protein with 93% homology with rat and human CRF [25], whereas *Xenopus* Ucn1 reveals about 70% homology with mammalian Ucn1 [26]. CRF is widely distributed in the *X. laevis* brain, with main CRF-immunoreactive (ir) sites in the nucleus accumbens, nucleus habenularis ventralis, magnocellular nucleus, paraventricular organ, tectum mesencephali, anterior tegmental nucleus, locus ceruleus and nucleus motorius nervi trigemini [27,28]. In contrast to CRF, Ucn1 shows a more limited distribution in the *X. laevis* brain, occurring in the magnocellular nucleus (Mg), Edinger-Westphal nucleus (EW), nucleus posteroventralis tegmenti, central grey and nucleus motorius nervi trigemini [28]. In the pituitary gland, CRF-ir fibres are scarce, whereas many strongly stained Ucn1-ir fibres occur in the pars nervosa [28].

The functions of CRF and Ucn1 in amphibians are less well investigated than in mammals, but CRF in the Mg, the amphibian homologue of the mammalian paraventricular and supraoptic nuclei, exerts its 'traditional' role as controller of the hypothalamo-hypophyseal-adrenal axis [27,29-31], and both CRF and Ucn1 in the Mg may be involved in

the control of feeding-related processes [26,32,33]. Most interesting are the roles of CRF and Ucn1 in the regulation of the neuroendocrine melanotrope cells in the intermediate lobe of the amphibian pituitary gland, a regulation up to now unknown in mammals. Amphibian melanotropes release α -melanophore-stimulating hormone (α MSH), a processing product of proopiomelanocortin (POMC), which is responsible for skin darkening during the process of background adaptation [*e.g.* 34,35]. In *X. laevis* CRF-like peptides, including *Xenopus* Ucn1, stimulate the production of POMC and the secretion of α MSH [28,36,37]. The mechanism(s) by which amphibian CRF and Ucn1 exert these actions are only fragmentary known. In *X. laevis*, two types of CRF receptor, CRF₁ and CRF₂, have been identified in both the brain and the pituitary gland, using RT-PCR. Both receptors share ca. 80% amino acid sequence homology with their mammalian counterparts, CRF₁ binds with high affinity to both CRF and Ucn1, whereas CRF₂ has a higher affinity for Ucn1 than for CRF [38-41].

The present study focuses on CRF_1 , and aims to identify the possible sites by which CRF and Ucn1 act on this receptor in the brain and pituitary gland of *Xenopus laevis*. Moreover, in view of the dependence of melanotrope secretory activity in this animal on the external light condition, we hypothesised that this light condition is a physiological factor controlling the expression of melanotrope cell CRF_1 . We have assessed the presence of this receptor by *in situ* hybridisation of CRF_1 mRNA, and then we determined if this expression in the intermediate pituitary lobe depends on the state of the background light condition (black *vs*. white).

3. Materials and Methods



3.1. Animals

Ten young-adult specimens of *Xenopus laevis*, aged 6 months, with a body weight of 28-32 g, were raised in our Nijmegen laboratory under constant illumination, at a water temperature of $22 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C, and fed weekly on ground beef heart (Janssen, Nijmegen, The Netherlands) and Trouvit trout pellets (Trouvit, Trouw, Putten, The Netherlands). Before the experiments, animals had been adapted to either a black (n=5) or a white (n=5) background,

Page 5 of 29

for three weeks. Animal treatment was in agreement with the Declaration of Helsinki and the Dutch law concerning animal welfare, as tested by the ethical committee for animal experimentation of Radboud University Nijmegen.

3.2. Tissue preparation for in situ hybridisation

Toads were deeply anaesthetised by immersion in 0.1% tricaine methane sulfonate (MS222; Novartis, Basel, Switzerland) in tap water, and transcardially perfused with ice-cold 0.6% sodium chloride, for 5 min. Then they were perfused with 250 ml ice-cold Bouin's fixative, for 15 min. After decapitation, the brain and pituitary gland were quickly dissected and postfixed in the same fixative, for 16 h at 4°C, washed in 70% ethanol for 24 h, to eliminate excess of picric acid, dehydrated in a graded ethanol series, and embedded in paraffin. Coronal serial sections (7 μ m) were mounted on poly-L-lysine-coated slides (Sigma Chemical, St Louis, MO, USA) and allowed to air-dry, for 16 h at 45°C, deparaffinised and rehydrated. Brain sections of black-adapted (BA) and white-adapted (WA) animals were processed in parallel for *in situ* hybridisation for *Xenopus* CRF₁ mRNA.

3.3. In situ hybridisation

A Greenstar labelled probe was used (GeneDetect, Auckland, New Zealand) and a 3'digoxigenin (DIG)-labelled antisense oligonucleotide probe was synthesised to hybridise with the *Xenopus* CRF₁. The CRF₁ probe was a synthetic antisense oligonucleotide corresponding bases 264-311 to (TCCTGGCATTGAGCGTAGTCTCCTCTCCCAGCCCAGCTGCCGTTCAGG, 48 mer) of the cDNA sequence of *Xenopus* CRF₁ [38] (Genbank accession no. Y14036). Starting from the same bases, sense oligonucleotide probe а (CCTGAACGGCAGCTGGGCTGGGAGAGAGGAGACTACGCTCAATGCCAGGA, 48 mer) was synthesised for specificity control tests with *in situ* hybridisation. Computer analysis with the basic local alignment search tool (BLAST) [42] did not reveal any homology or similarity of our probes with other X. laevis genes. After deparaffination, sections were rinsed in autoclaved 0.1 M sodium phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; pH 7.4) for 10 min, and

postfixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer (PB; pH 7.4), for 5 min at 20°C. After rinsing the sections in PBS for 10 min, acetylation was performed with 0.25% acetic acid anhydride in 0.1 M tri-ethanolamine buffer (pH 8.0), for 10 min, followed by rinsing in 2 times concentrated (X2) standard saline citrate buffer (SSC; pH 7.0), for 3 min. Sections were then treated with 0.1% pepsin (Sigma Chemical) in 0.2 N HCl, at 37°C for 15 min, followed by 2 x 5 min wash in PBS and subsequently incubated in hybridisation mixture containing 4 ml X20 SSC, 10 ml deionised formamide, Denhardt's solution, 10% dextran sulfate, 0.25 mg/ml tRNA, 2 ml 1M DTT and 2 ml autoclaved MQ, for 2 h at 37°C. After rinsing in X2 SSC for 5 min, sections were incubated in hybridisation mixture with the 3'-DIG-labelled antisense/sense oligonucleotide probe (ca. 100 ng/ml), for 16 h at 37°C, followed by a quick rinse in SSC containing 1M DTT, at room temperature. Then, sections were stringently washed twice in X1 and X0.5 SSC containing 1M DTT (Roche, Basel, Switzerland), for 15 min at 55°C, and in SSC, for 10 min at 20°C. DIG label was visualised by the alkaline phosphatase (AP) method with nitro-bluetetrazoliumchloride/5-bromo-4chloro-3-indolyl phosphate-toluidine salt (NBT/BCIP; Roche) as a substrate. In brief, after 3 x 5 min rinses in 0.1 M Tris-buffered saline (TBS; pH 7.5) sections were washed in TBS containing 1% of blocking agent (TBS-BA; Roche), for 30 min, followed by incubation in sheep-anti-DIG-AP (1:200; Roche) in TBS-BA, for 4 h at 20°C. Sections were then rinsed 3 x 5 min in TBS followed by a 5 min wash in TBS buffer containing 0.05M MgCl₂ (TBS-M; pH 9.5), and after 48 h incubation hybridisation was visualised in TBS-M containing NBT/BCIP. The reaction was stopped by several rinses in tap water followed by rinses in distilled water. Sections were then mounted in Kaiser's glycerol gelatine (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and examined using a Leica DMRBE microscope (Leica Microsystems, Heerbrugg, Switzerland).

3.4. Morphometry and statistical analysis

Digital images of 5 WA and 5 BA toads were taken using the Leica DMRBE optical system with a Leica DC 500 digital camera (Leica Microsystems) and a x20 objective lens, and analysed with Scion Image software (version 3.0b; NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA). *In situ*

hybridisation staining intensity was determined in three 7 μ m sagittal sections per animal, in the middle of (a) the internal granule cell layer of the olfactory bulb, (b) the intermediate pituitary and (c) the distal pituitary lobe. Values were expressed as means of the optical density (OD) ± standard error of the mean (SEM). Means per adaptation state were analysed with analysis of variance (α =5%), using Statistica (StatSoft, Tulsa, OK, USA), after testing for homogeneity of variance (Bartlett's test) [43] and normality [44].

4. Results

4.1. Distribution of CRF_1 mRNA in the brain and pituitary gland

With the anti-sense mRNA probe, CRF_1 mRNA hybridisation signals were seen throughout the brain in the neuronal perikarya, with variable staining intensities, whereas the neuronal cell nucleus, axons, axon terminals and other elements in the brain like glial cells, and blood vessels are devoid of signal (Fig. 1a). The absence of any background staining and of staining with the sense probe (control) (Fig. 1b), indicates that the signal specifically reveals the presence of CRF_1 mRNA. The occurrence of the CRF_1 mRNA hybridisation signal in a brain structure was further studied in serial sagittal sections (Fig. 2) and the different hybridisation intensities, assessed by visual observation and semiquantitatively expressed as 'low', 'moderate' and 'strong', are summarised in Table 1. The detailed distribution of CRF_1 mRNA is as follows.

In the telencephalon, the most rostral perikarya expressing CRF_1 mRNA are situated in the olfactory bulb (Fig. 2), where the internal granule cell layer surrounding the lateral ventricle shows a strong signal (Fig. 3a). In the latero-dorsal and latero-ventral pallium, cells are moderately stained, whereas in the mitral cell layer and post-olfactory eminence no signal was observed. In the nucleus accumbens a moderate expression signal is present (Fig. 3b), whereas in the medial and lateral part of the amygdala scattered cells were found with low expression levels of CRF_1 mRNA (Fig. 3c).

In the diencephalon, a rostral group of neurones showing moderate hybridisation signals is situated in the anterior preoptic area of the hypothalamus (Figs. 2,3d). A low expression

signal occurs in small cell group in the dorsal and ventral habenular nuclei. No signal was detected in the Mg. More caudally, moderate expression of CRF_1 mRNA occurs in cells scattered in the suprachiasmatic nucleus (Fig. 3d) and in the parallel cell layers of the ventromedial thalamic nucleus (Fig. 3e). In the posterior tubercle some slightly stained cells are present, whereas in the infundibular area, strong CRF_1 mRNA staining is shown by the ventral hypothalamic nucleus (Fig. 3f).

In the dorsal mesencephalon, several piriform cell layers of the optic tectum reveal hybridisation signals with varying intensities, ranging from moderate in the internal layers to strong in the external layers 5 and 6 (Fig. 3g).

In the rhombencephalon, strong CRF_1 mRNA expression was encountered in the cerebellum (Fig. 3h).

Pituitary gland. In the pars nervosa of the pituitary lobe no hybridisation signal was observed (Figs. 2,4a), but strong staining is present in the melanotrope cells of the intermediate lobe (Fig. 4b,d). In the distal lobe, a moderate to strong CRF_1 mRNA expression signal occurs in a rostral cluster of endocrine cells. In view of their position, they most likely are corticotrope cells (Fig. 4e).

Differences between CRF_1 mRNA expression as a result of different adaptation states are dealt with in the next paragraph.

4.2 Effect of background adaptation on the expression of CRF₁ mRNA

In order to determine whether and to what extent the expression of CRF_1 mRNA in pituitary melanotrope cells is physiologically regulated by the background light condition, we measured mRNA hybridisation intensities in WA (n=5) and BA (n=5) *X. laevis*, and expressed them as optical density (OD) in arbitrary units. As controls we studied two other structures of which we had found (see above, Fig. 2, and Table 1) that they are rich in CRF_1 mRNA but which are not known to be involved in the process of background light adaptation: the internal granule cell layer of the olfactory bulb (igl) and the presumed corticotrope cells in the distal lobe.

As can be readily seen at low magnification (Fig. 4a,b) the intermediate lobe of the

pituitary gland in BA animals is much larger than in WA toads, which is due to hyperplasia of the melanotrope cells, which are the only endocrine cells in the intermediate lobe [45]. On the other hand, the intensity of the CRF_1 mRNA hybridisation signal in the intermediate lobe of WA animals is much higher than in BA animals (Fig. 4b,d). A special observation is that in WA animals, the intensity of the hybridisation signal differs among individual melanotrope cells, being moderate in some cells, but strong or even very strong in others. In BA animals all cells are stained with the same, rather weak intensity (Fig. 4a,c). No effect of background light intensity on the expression of CRF_1 in the brain was noted.

This stimulatory effect of white background adaptation on, specifically, the expression of CRF₁ mRNA in the melanotrope cells, clearly appears from the morphometric study. In both the igl and in the distal lobe, the OD of the CRF₁ mRNA hybridisation signal does not differ between BA and WA animals (Fig. 5) but the melanotrope cells in the intermediate pituitary lobe of WA animals show a 59.4% higher OD than melanotropes of BA animals (P<0.05; Fig. 5).

5. Discussion

5.1. Technical considerations

With *in situ* hybridisation, using a DIG-labelled oligonucleotide probe, we here describe for the first time the detailed distribution of mRNA encoding CRF_1 in the brain and in the pituitary gland of a non-mammalian vertebrate, the South African clawed toad *X*. *laevis*. The reasons for using an oligonucleotide probe instead of a ribonucleotide probe are: 1) the higher stability (resistance to RNAse degradation) when compared to a RNA probe, 2) the small molecular size of the oligonucleotide, which provides better tissue penetration, and 3) the fact that oligonucleotides do not self-hybridise.

5.2. Comparison of CRF_1 mRNA distribution in the brain of amphibians with mammals

The general existence of CRF_1 in the brain of *X. laevis* was previously assumed on the basis of RT-PCR [38,41], but no information was available regarding the brain structures

expressing this receptor. This is the first report describing the presence of CRF_1 mRNA, and hence, most probably, of CRF_1 , in the telencephalon, diencephalon and brainstem of *X*. *laevis*.

The distribution of the CRF₁ mRNA in the *Xenopus* brain appears to be substantially less extensive than that of CRF₁ mRNA in the mammalian brain. For example, in the rat telencephalon, CRF₁ mRNA occurs in the periglomerular layer, mitral layer and olfactory tubercle as well as in the hippocampus, diagonal band of Broca and bed nucleus of the stria terminalis [23], all regions that in *X. laevis* are devoid of CRF₁ mRNA. In the rat diencephalon CRF₁ mRNA is present in many thalamic areas such as the latero-dorsal and latero-posterior nucleus and the lateral geniculate nucleus, whereas in *Xenopus* only the ventromedial thalamic nucleus shows CRF₁ mRNA expression. CRF₁ mRNA has been observed furthermore in the rat brainstem, viz. in many sensory relay structures, whereas in the toad only the tectum mesencephali and the cerebellum reveal CRF₁ mRNA hybridisation. The wider distribution of this receptor mRNA in the rat suggests that its ligands CRF and Ucn1 have acquired novel central functions during evolution.

On the other hand, the distributions of CRF_1 mRNA in the brain of *X. laevis* and of mammals show some clear similarities. In *X. laevis*, going from rostral to caudal, strong CRF_1 hybridisation signals occur in the internal granule cell layer of the olfactory bulb, the ventral hypothalamic area, the tectum mesencephali and the cerebellum. The homologous nuclei in the rat brain, viz. the granule cell layer of the olfactory region, the arcuate nucleus, the superior colliculus and the granule layer of the cerebellum, respectively, also express CRF_1 signals [23]. Furthermore, nor in the Mg of *X. laevis* nor in its rat homologue, the PVN, any CRF_1 mRNA signal has been observed [23]. These similarities between CRF_1 locations in *Xenopus* and rat indicate that the presence/absence and functions of this receptor type are strongly evolutionary conserved.

5.3. Relation between CRF₁ mRNA and CRF/Ucn1 peptide distributions

The *in situ* hybridisation signal for CRF_1 mRNA is a strong indication for the local existence of CRF_1 protein. Because *in vitro* studies showed that both *Xenopus* CRF and Ucn1

bind with high affinity to *Xenopus* CRF₁ [41], it is of functional interest to assess if the CRF₁ mRNA expressions described in this study match with the descriptions of CRF- and Ucn1-ir fibres and perikarya [27,28]. Table 1 lists our present description of CRF₁ mRNA distribution in *Xenopus*, as well as literature data on the distribution of CRF and Ucn1 peptides in this animal [27,28]. As the table shows, both CRF- and Ucn1-ir elements occur in the olfactory bulb, nucleus accumbens, posterior tubercle and tectum mesencephali, suggesting that in these four areas both CRF and Ucn1 can act on CRF₁. However, in most brain structures CRF₁ mRNA coexists with CRF-ir fibres only, which fact supports the notion that CRF is the endogenous ligand for CRF₁, and that Ucn1 binds preferably to CRF₂ [26,38]. In the nucleus habenularis ventralis, CRF₁ mRNA expression occurs in CRF-ir perikarya. Here, ultrastructural studies may be helpful to determine if the same cells both release CRF and possess CRF₁, which would point to an autocrine action of CRF.

These data together, support the idea that CRF and Ucn1 act on CRF₁ receptors in the *Xenopus* brain. Whether the absence of CRF₁ mRNA in CRF- and/or Ucn1-peptide containing brain structures points to actions of these ligands on CRF₂, or on an action of these ligands in other areas of the brain that are reached by volume transmission or via axons, that cannot be revealed at the light microscope level, remains to be established.

5.4. Physiological regulation of CRF_1 mRNA expression in the amphibian intermediate pituitary lobe

We here demonstrate for the first time that CRF_1 occurs in the intermediate lobe of the pituitary gland of a non-mammalian species. The presence of CRF_1 in the intermediate lobe of *X. laevis* extends the previous general demonstration by RT-PCR of this receptor type in the total pituitary gland [38], as it shows that CRF_1 is restricted to the melanotrope cells of the intermediate lobe. Recently, we showed the presence of CRF and Ucn1 peptides in the median eminence and in the pars nervosa of the pituitary lobe of *Xenopus* and revealed by *in vitro* superfusion studies that both peptides stimulate the release of α MSH from melanotrope cells [28,37]. We now show an upregulation of CRF_1 mRNA in WA toads, as compared to BA animals. This receptor upregulation is specific for the melanotrope cells, as no effect of

the background illumination state was visible in the igl or in the distal pituitary lobe. Our demonstration of CRF₁ mRNA in the intermediate lobe fits in with the fact that the Mg, projecting to neurohemal areas in the median eminence and the pituitary pars nervosa, is controlling melanotrope cell secretory activity via the release of CRF and Ucn1, both peptides stimulating α -MSH release *in vitro* [28,37]. Interestingly, in WA the strength of the CRF₁ mRNA signal is not homogenous throughout the gland, suggesting that some cells are producing more receptors than others. This result supports the notion that the intermediate lobe of the pituitary gland is composed of a heterogeneous cell population as to size [45] and POMC production [46].

Up to now, it is not known what factors control the release of CRF and Ucn1 release from the pituitary pars nervosa. In the present study we show that adaptation of *Xenopus* to a white background upregulates the expression of CRF₁ mRNA. This finding suggests that in these WA animals, melanotropes become highly sensitive to CRF and Ucn1 so that when placed on a black background, their high receptor density enables the cells to rapidly mobilise stored α -MSH to the secretory process. In this way, the animals can turn black quickly, permitting fast camouflage. Indeed, in line with these results, Verburg-van Kemenade et al. [37] reported that ovine CRF evokes stronger *in vitro* α MSH release from neurointermediate lobes of WA than of BA toads. For *X. laevis* melanotropes a similar situation has been described with respect to thyrotropin-releasing hormone-receptor type 3 mRNA, and for NPY Y1 receptor mRNA, which are both upregulated in WA animals [47,48]. Like CRF and Ucn1, TRH simulates α -MSH release, whereas NPY inhibits this release [49,50].

Further studies on the dynamics of the Mg and the *in vivo* conditions by which the activity of this nucleus is regulated, as well as on the possible presence and regulation of CRF₂ receptors in the pituitary gland, may elucidate the plastic interactions between CRF and Ucn1 and their receptors that are crucial to the functioning of the neuroendocrine reflex mechanism by which melanotrope cells in amphibians control the process of skin colour adaptation to background illumination. We propose that this mechanism is an example of neuroendocrine plasticity crucial to adaptation processes in general and to adaptation to stressful environmental events in particular.

Acknowledgements

The authors are very grateful to Tamas Kozicz and Aniko Korosi for advise, to Frouwke J. Kuijpers-Kwant for technical assistance, and to Ron J.C. Engels for animal care.

References

- Vale WW, Spiess J, Rivier C, Rivier J. Characterization of a 41-residue ovine hypothalamic peptide that stimulates secretion of corticotropin and beta-endorphin. Science 1981; 213: 1394-1397.
- Vaughan J, Donaldson C, Bittencourt J, Perrin MH, Lewis K, Sutton S, Chan R, Turnbull AV, Lovejoy D, Rivier C. Urocortin, a mammalian neuropeptide related to fish urotensin I and to corticotropin-releasing factor. Nature 1995; 378: 287-292.
- Bittencourt JC, Vaughan J, Arias C, Rissman RA, Vale WW, Sawchenko PE. Urocortin expression in rat brain: evidence against a pervasive relationship of urocortin-containing projections with targets bearing type 2 CRF receptors. J Comp Neurol 1999; 415: 285-312.
- Swanson LW, Sawchenko PE, Rivier J, Vale WW. Organization of ovine corticotropinreleasing factor immunoreactive cells and fibres in the rat brain: immunohistochemical study. Neuroendocrinology 1983; 36: 165-186.
- 5. Kozicz T, Yanaihara H, Arimura A. Distribution of urocortin-like immunoreactivity in the central nervous system of the rat. J Comp Neurol 1998; 391: 1-10.
- Morin SM, Ling N, Liu XJ, Kahl SD, Gehlert DR. Differential distribution of urocortinand corticotropin-releasing factor-like immunoreactivities in the rat brain. Neuroscience 1999; 92: 281-291.
- Reyes TM, Lewis K, Perrin MH, Kunitake KS, Vaughan J, Arias CA, Hogenesh JB, Gulyas J, Rivier J, Vale WW, Sawchenko PE. Urocortin II: a member of the corticotropin-releasing-factor (CRF) neuropeptide family that is selectively bound by type 2 CRF receptors. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2001; 98: 2843-2848.
- Lewis K, Li C, Perrin MH, Blount A, Kunitake K, Donaldson C, Vaughan J, Reyes TM, Gulyas J, Fisher W, Bilezikjian L, Rivier J, Sawchenko PE, Vale WW. Identification of urocortin III, an additional member of the corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF) family with high affinity for the CRF2 receptor. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2001; 98: 7570-7575.

- Turnbull AV, Rivier C. Corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF) and endocrine responses to stress: CRF receptors, binding protein, and related peptides. Proc Soc Exp Biol Med 1997; 215: 1-10.
- Skelton KH, Owens MJ, Nemeroff CB. The neurobiology of urocortin. Regul Pept 2000; 93: 85-92.
- 11. Parkes DG, Weisinger RS, May CN. Cardiovascular actions of CRH and urocortin: an update. Peptides 2001; 22: 821-827.
- 12. Coste SC, Quintos RF, Stenzel-Poore MP. Corticotropin-releasing hormone-related peptides and receptors: emergent regulators of cardiovascular adaptations to stress. Trends Cardiovasc Med 2002; 12: 176-182.
- 13. De Fanti BA, Martinez JA. Central urocortin activation of sympathetic-regulated energy metabolism in Wistar rats. Brain Res 2002; 930: 37-41.
- 14. Tsigos C, Chrousos GP. Hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis, neuroendocrine factors and stress. J Psychos Res 2002; 53: 865-871.
- 15. Huang Y, Yao X, Lau C, Chan Y, Tsang S, Chan FL. Urocortin and cardiovascular protection. Acta Pharmacol Sin 2004; 25: 257-265.
- 16. Kozicz T, Korosi A, Korsman C, Tilburg-Ouwens DTWM, Groenink L, Veening JG, Gugten J van der, Roubos EW, Olivier B. Urocortin expression in the Edinger-Westphal nucleus is down-regulated in transgenic mice over-expressing neuronal corticotropinreleasing factor. Neuroscience 2004; 123: 589-594.
- Korosi A, Schotanus S, Olivier B, Roubos EW, Kozicz T. Chronic ether stress-induced response of urocortin 1 neurons in the Edinger-Westphal nucleus in the mouse. Brain Res 2005; 1046: 172-179.
- 18. Suda T, Kageyama K, Sakihara S, Nigawara T. Physiological roles of urocortins, human homologues of fish urotensin I, and their receptors. Peptides 2004; 25: 1689-1701.
- 19. Chen R, Lewis KA, Perrin MH, Vale WW. Expression cloning of a human corticotropinreleasing-factor receptor. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1993; 90: 8967-8971.
- Perrin MH, Vale WW. Corticotropin releasing factor receptors and their ligand family. Ann NY Acad Sci 1999; 885: 312-328.

- 21. Potter E, Sutton S, Donaldson C, Chen R, Perrin M, Lewis K, Sawchenko PE, Vale WW. Distribution of corticotropin-releasing factor receptor mRNA expression in the rat brain and pituitary. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1994; 91: 8777-8781.
- 22. De Souza EB. Corticotropin-releasing factor receptors: physiology, pharmacology, biochemistry and role in central nervous system and immune disorders. Psychoneuroendocrinology 1995; 20: 789-819.
- 23. Van Pett K, Viau V, Bittencourt JC, Chan RK, Li HY, Arias C, Prins GS, Perrin M, Vale WW, Sawchenko PE. Distribution of mRNAs encoding CRF receptors in brain and pituitary of rat and mouse. J Comp Neurol 2000; 428: 191-212.
- 24. Korosi A, Veening JG, Kozicz T, Henkens M, Dederen J, Groenink L, Gugten J van der, Olivier B, Roubos EW. Distribution and expression of CRF receptor 1 and 2 mRNAs in the CRF over-expressing mouse brain. Brain Res 2006; 1072: 46-54.
- 25. Stenzel-Poore MP, Heldwein KA, Stenzel P, Lee S, Vale WW. Characterization of the genomic corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF) gene from *Xenopus laevis*: two members of the CRF family exist in amphibians. Mol Endocrinol 1992; 6: 1716-1724.
- 26. Boorse GC, Crespi EJ, Dautzenberg FM, Denver RJ. Urocortins of the South African clawed frog, *Xenopus laevis*: conservation of structure and function in tetrapod evolution. Endocrinology 2005; 146: 4851-4860.
- 27. Yao M, Westphal NJ, Denver RJ. Distribution and acute stressor-induced activation of corticotrophin-releasing hormone neurones in the central nervous system of *Xenopus laevis*. J Neuroendocrinol 2004; 16: 880-893.
- 28. Calle M, Corstens GJ, Wang L, Kozicz T, Denver RJ, Barendregt HP, Roubos EW. Evidence that urocortin I acts as a neurohormone to stimulate alpha-MSH release in the toad *Xenopus laevis*. Brain Res 2005; 1040: 14-28.
- 29. Malagon MM, Ruiz-Navarro A, Torronteras R, Gracia-Navarro F. Effects of ovine CRF on amphibian pituitary ACTH and TSH cells in vivo: a quantitative ultrastructural study. Gen Comp Endocrinol 1991; 83: 487-497.

- Ubink R, Jenks BG, Roubos EW. Physiologically induced Fos expression in the hypothalamo-hypophyseal system of *Xenopus laevis*. Neuroendocrinology 1997; 65: 413-422.
- 31. Boorse GC, Denver RJ. Expression and hypophysiotropic actions of corticotropinreleasing factor in *Xenopus laevis*. Gen Comp Endocrinol 2004; 137: 272-282.
- 32. Crespi EJ, Vaudry H, Denver RJ. Roles of corticotropin-releasing factor, neuropeptide Y and corticosterone in the regulation of food intake in *Xenopus laevis*. J Neuroendocrinol 2004; 16: 279-288.
- 33. Calle M, Kozicz T, Linden E van der, Desfeux A, Veening JG, Barendregt HP, Roubos EW. Effect of starvation on Fos and neuropeptide immunoreactivities in the brain and pituitary gland of *Xenopus laevis*. Gen Comp Endocrinol 2006 (Epub Feb 14, ahead of print).
- 34. Kramer BMR, Welting J, Berghs CAFM, Jenks BG, Roubos EW. Functional organization of the suprachiasmatic nucleus of *Xenopus laevis* in relation to background adaptation. J Comp Neurol 2001; 432: 346-355.
- 35. Kolk SM, Kramer BMR, Cornelisse LN, Scheenen WJJM, Jenks BG, Roubos EW. Multiple control and dynamic response of the *Xenopus* melanotrope cell. Comp Biochem Physiol B Biochem Mol Biol 2002; 132: 257-268.
- 36. Dotman CH, Maia A, Jenks BG, Roubos EW. Sauvagine and TRH differentially stimulate proopiomelanocortin biosynthesis in the *Xenopus laevis* intermediate pituitary. Neuroendocrinology 1997; 66: 106-113.
- 37. Verburg-van Kemenade BML, Jenks BG, Cruijsen PMJM, Dings A, Tonon MC, Vaudry H. Regulation of MSH release from the neurointermediate lobe of *Xenopus laevis* by CRF-like peptides. Peptides 1987; 8: 1093-1100.
- 38. Dautzenberg FM, Dietrich K, Palchaudhuri MR, Spiess J. Identification of two corticotropin-releasing factor receptors from *Xenopus laevis* with high ligand selectivity: unusual pharmacology of the type 1 receptor. J Neurochem 1997; 69: 1640-1649.

- 39. Dautzenberg FM, Wille S, Lohmann R, Spiess J. Mapping of the ligand-selective domain of the *Xenopus laevis* corticotropin-releasing factor receptor 1: implications for the ligand-binding site. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1998; 95: 4941-4946.
- 40. Dautzenberg FM, Py-Lang G, Higelin J, Fischer C, Wright MB, Huber G. Different binding modes of amphibian corticotropin-releasing factor 1 and 2 receptors: evidence for evolutionary differences. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 2001; 296: 113-120.
- 41. Boorse GC, Denver RJ. Widespread tissue distribution and diverse functions of corticotropin-releasing factor and related peptides. Gen Comp Endocrinol 2006; 146: 9-18.
- 42. Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schaffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, Lipman DJ. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acid Res 1997; 25: 3389-3402.
- 43. Bliss CJ. In: Statistics in biology. McGraw-Hill, Inc. New York, Vol. 1, 1967.
- 44. Shapiro HH, Wilk MB. An analysis of variance test for normality. Biometrika 1965; 52: 591-611.
- 45. Rijk EPCT de, Jenks BG, Wendelaar Bonga SE. Morphology of the pars intermedia and the melanophore-stimulating cells in *Xenopus laevis* in relation to background adaptation. Gen Comp Endocrinol 1990; 79: 74-82.
- 46. Corstens GJH, Roubos EW, Jenks BG, van Erp PEJ. Analysis of *Xenopus* melanotrope cell size and POMC gene expression. In: Vaudry H, Roubos EW, Schoofs L, Flik G, Larhammar D, eds. Trends in comparative endocrinology and neurobiology. New York: Ann NY Acad Sci 2005: 269-272.
- 47. Bidaud I, Galas L, Bulant M, Jenks BG, Ouwens DTWM, Jégou S, Ladram A, Roubos EW, Tonon MC, Nicolas P, Vaudry H. Distribution of the mRNAs encoding the thyrotropin-releasing hormone (TRH) precursor and three TRH receptors in the brain and pituitary of *Xenopus laevis*: effect of background colour adaptation on TRH and TRH receptor gene expression. J Comp Neurol 2004; 477: 11-28.

- 48. Jenks BG, Ouwens DTWM, Coolen MW, Roubos EW, Martens GJM. Demonstration of postsynaptic receptor plasticity in an amphibian neuroendocrine interface. J Neuroendocrinol 2002; 14: 843-845.
- 49. Verburg van Kemenade BML, Jenks BG, Visser TJ, Tonon MC, Vaudry H. Assessment of TRH as a potential MSH release stimulating factor in *Xenopus laevis*. Peptides 1987; 8: 69-76.
- G, Wr. ' oscillations, eceptor. Peptides 19. 50. Scheenen WJJM, Yntema HG, Willems PHGM, Roubos EW, Lieste JR, Jenks BG. Neuropeptide Y inhibits Ca²⁺ oscillations, cyclic AMP, and secretion in melanotrope cells of Xenopus laevis via Y1 receptor. Peptides 1995; 16: 889-895.

Legends

Fig. 1. Overview of *in situ* hybridisation of CRF_1 mRNA in the brain of *Xenopus laevis*, at the level of the diencephalon, with various positive regions, with an antisense oligonucleotide probe (a), and lack of hybridisation signal with the complementary sense probe (b). Bar = 100 μ m.

Fig. 2. Schematic sagittal view of the brain of *X. laevis*. The locations of CRF₁ mRNApositive neurones as visualised by *in situ* are indicated by black dots. Acc, nucleus accumbens; Apl, amygdala, pars lateralis; Apm, amygdala, pars medialis; Cb, cerebellum; Hv, nucleus habenularis ventralis; igl, internal granule cells of the olfactory bulb; lpd, laterodorsal pallium; lpv, latero-ventral pallium; pd, pituitary gland, pars distalis; pi, pituitary gland, pars intermedia; pn, pituitary gland, pars nervosa; Poa, preoptic area; SC, suprachiasmatic nucleus; tect, mesencephalic tectum; TP, posterior tubercle; VH, ventral hypothalamic nucleus; VM, ventromedial thalamic nucleus.

Fig. 3. Sagittal sections of the brain and pituitary gland of *X. laevis*, showing CRF₁ mRNA hybridisation in (a) internal granule cell layer of the olfactory bulb, (b) nucleus accumbens, (c) medial part of the amygdala, (d) preoptic area of the hypothalamus (Poa), suprachiasmatic nucleus (Sc), (e) ventromedial thalamic nucleus, (f) ventral hypothalamic area, (g) tectum mesencephali, and (h) cerebellum. Bar a,d = 50 μ m; b,c,e-h = 20 μ m.

Fig. 4. CRF₁ mRNA in the pituitary gland of *X. laevis*. In the melanotrope cells in the pars intermedia (pi) hybridisation is weak in a black-adapted animal (a, detail in c) but strong in a white-adapted one (b, detail in d). In the pars nervosa (pn) no signal is present (a). Only some cells of the pars distalis (pd) show a positive hybridisation signal (e). Bar $a,b = 50 \mu m$; bar c = 30; bar d-f = 20 μm .

Fig. 5. Effect of background adaptation on the OD expressed as arbitrary units (a.u.) of CRF₁ in situ hybridisation signals in internal granule cell layer of the olfactory bulb (igl), distal (pd) and intermediate lobe (pi) of the pituitary gland. White bars indicate white-adapted and black bars black-adapted Xenopus. Values are expressed as means \pm standard error of the mean. Asterisk indicates significant difference between the two adaptation states (*P<0.05).

Table 1. Distribution of xCRF₁ mRNA, CRF- and Ucn1-ir cells and fibres in the *X. laevis* brain and pituitary gland, as visualised by *in situ* hybridisation and immunocytochemistry, according to Yao [27] and Calle [28]. For the *in situ* hybridisation, ratings reflect the intensity of positively labelled cells, with (+) indicating a low, (++) moderate and (+++) strong intensity of the staining; for immunocytochemistry the number of positive cells is indicated as follows: (+) rare, (++) some and (+++) numerous. Immunoreactive fibres are indicated by (+), (-) indicates a complete lack of labelling; s.d. stimulus-dependent staining.

rg; ι. (++) some a. complete lack of labe.

Page 23 of 29

BRAIN REGION	CRF_1	CRF-ir		Ucn1-ir	
	mRNA	refs [27,28]	ref.	[27]
		Cells	Fibres	Cells	Fibres
Telencephalon					
Olfactory bulb, internal granule cells (igl)	+++	+	+	-	+
Mitral cell layer (ml)	-	++	+	-	-
Medial olfactory tract (mot)	-	-	-	-	+
Post-olfactory eminence (pe)	-	++	-	-	-
Medial septum (ms)	-	-	+	-	+
Lateral septum (ls)	-	-	++	-	-
Latero-dorsal/ventral pallium (lpd, lpv)	++	-	+	-	-
Dorsal pallium (dp)	-	-	+	-	+
Medial pallium (mp)	-	-	+	-	-
Striatum (Str)	-	+	-	-	+
Accumbens (Acc)	++	+++	+	-	+
Diagonal band of Broca (DB)	-	_	+	-	+
Amygdala pars medialis (Apm)	+	+	+	-	_
Amygdala pars lateralis (Apl)	+	++	+	_	_
Anterior commissure (ac)	_	_	+	_	_
Anterior commissure (dc)			,		
Diencephalon					
Preoptic area (Poa)	++	++	+	_	_
Nucleus habenularis ventralis (Hy)	++	+++	_	_	_
Ventromedial thalamic nucleus (VM)	++	+	_	_	_
Anterior thalamic nucleus (A)	_	+	_	_	+
Central thalamic nucleus (C)	_	_	_	_	+
Posterior thalamic nucleus (P)	_	_	_	_	+
Magnocellular nucleus medial part (Mgm)	_	++	+	++	+
Magnocellular nucleus, mediai part (Mgy)	_	++	+	+++	, +
Magnocellular nucleus, dorsal part (Mgd)	_		I	1 1 1	1
Suprachiagnotic nucleus, doisai part (Mgu)	-	-	- -	-	-
Supracinasinatic nucleus (SC)	TT		+ 	-	-
Paraventricular organ (NPV)	-	++	+	-	-
Posterior tubercie (TP)	+	+	+	-	+
Ventral hypothalamic nucleus (VH)	+++	+	+	-	-
Median eminence zona interna (Zi)	-	-	+	-	+
Median eminence zona externa (ze)	-	-	+	-	+
Pituitary pars nervosa (pn)	-	-	+	-	+
Pituitary pars intermedia (pi)	s.d.	-	-	-	-
Pituitary pars distalis (pd)	++	-	-	-	-
Mesencephalon					1
Tectum mesencephan (tect)	++	+++	Ŧ	-	+
Tegmentum mesencephali (tegm)	-	-	Ŧ	-	+
Edinger-westphal nucleus (Ew-N)	-	-	+	+++	+
Anterior tegmental nucleus (Av, Ad)	-	++	+	-	-
Posterior commissure (pc)	-	-	+	-	-
Nucleus posteroventralis tegmenti (pv)	-	+	-	++	-
Torus semicircularis (Tor)	-	-	+	-	+
Rhombencephalon	-	-	-	-	-
Cerebellum (Cb)	+++	-	+	-	-
Locus coeruleus (Lc)	-	++	+	-	-
Central gray (cg)	-	-	-	++	-
Nucleus reticularis medius (Rm)	-	-	-	+	-
Cochlear nucleus (LL)	-	-	-	-	+
Nucleus motorius nervi trigemini (Vm)	-	++	+	++	-
Nucleus motorius of the facial and	-	-	-	++	+
glossopharingeal nerve (IX)					
Nucleus motorius nervi vagi (Xm)	_	-	-	++	-



59 60



Overview of in situ hybridisation of CRF₁ mRNA in the brain of *Xenopus laevis*, at the level of the diencephalon, with various positive regions, with an antisense oligonucleotide probe (a), and lack of hybridisation signal with the complementary sense probe (b). Bar = 100 μ m.



Schematic sagittal view of the brain of *X. laevis*. The locations of CRF₁ mRNA-positive neurones as visualised by in situ are indicated by black dots. Acc, nucleus accumbens; Apl, amygdala, pars lateralis; Apm, amygdala, pars medialis; Cb, cerebellum; Hv, nucleus habenularis ventralis; igl, internal granule cells of the olfactory bulb; lpd, latero-dorsal pallium; lpv, latero-ventral pallium; pd, pituitary gland, pars distalis; pi, pituitary gland, pars intermedia; pn, pituitary gland, pars nervosa; Poa, preoptic area; SC, suprachiasmatic nucleus; tect, mesencephalic tectum; TP, posterior tubercle; VH, ventral hypothalamic nucleus; VM, ventromedial thalamic nucleus.



Sagittal sections of the brain and pituitary gland of *X. laevis*, showing CRF₁ mRNA hybridisation in (a) internal granule cell layer of the olfactory bulb, (b) nucleus accumbens, (c) medial part of the amygdala, (d) preoptic area of the hypothalamus (Poa), suprachiasmatic nucleus (Sc), (e) ventromedial thalamic nucleus, (f) ventral hypothalamic area, (g) tectum mesencephali, and (h) cerebellum. Bar a,d = 50 μ m; b,c,e-h = 20 μ m.



CRF₁ mRNA in the pituitary gland of *X. laevis*. In the melanotrope cells in the pars intermedia (pi) hybridisation is weak in a black-adapted animal (a, detail in c) but strong in a white-adapted one (b, detail in d). In the pars nervosa (pn) no signal is present (a). Only some cells of the pars distalis (pd) show a positive hybridisation signal (e). Bar a,b = 50 μ m; bar c = 30; bar d-f = 20 μ m.



Effect of background adaptation on the OD expressed as arbitrary units (a.u.) of CRF₁ in situ hybridisation signals in internal granule cell layer of the olfactory bulb (igl), distal (pd) and intermediate lobe (pi) of the pituitary gland. White bars indicate white-adapted and black bars black-adapted *Xenopus*. Values are expressed as means \pm standard error of the mean. Asterisk indicates significant difference between the two adaptation states (*P<0.05).